	Application No.	Applicant(s)	į
Notice of Allowability	10/027,919	SEITA ET AL.	
Notice of Anowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIC of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the appropriate communication HTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include	ed
1. X This communication is responsive to Amendment After Fina	al dated September 29, 2004.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5-7,10,11,15 and 17-20</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.			
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have if 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have if 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have if international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: ☐ . Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONME THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date ☐ . (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's ABANDONIC CONTROL (PARTICLE).	peen received. peen received in Application No uments have been received in this r If this communication to file a reply of ENT of this application. The ed. Note the attached EXAMINER's reason(s) why the oath or declarate the submitted. The entry of the entr	national stage applicational stage applicational stage application application is deficient.	uirements
Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8-	f(c)) should be written on the drawing	gs in the front (not the b	eack) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FO	neader according to 37 CFR 1.121(d)). Just he submitted Na	
Attachment(s) .	5. 🗌 Notice of Informal Pa	itent Application (PTO-	152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Summary (I	PTO-413),	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No./Mail Date 	Paper No./Mail Datè , 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendme	<u>: 10/8/04</u> . ent/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. X Examiner's Statemen	nt of Reasons for Allow	ance
of Biological Material	9. Other	` `	
	$\sum Dn$	a Word	
	e Prim	EDNA WONG ARY EXAMMER	•

Art Unit: 1753

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with S. Matthew Cairns on October 6, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Claim 2, line 1, the status identifier "(Previously Amended)" has been deleted and replaced with -- (Previously Presented) --.

Claim 11, line 1, the status identifier "(Previously Amended)" has been deleted and replaced with -- (Previously Presented) --.

Claim 17, line 1, the status identifier "(Previously Amended)" has been deleted and replaced with -- (Previously Presented) --

Claim 19, line 1, the status identifier "(Previously Amended)" has been deleted and replaced with -- (Previously Presented) --.

Art Unit: 1753

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims **1-3**, **5** and **15** are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an electrolytic copper plating solution suitable for filling vias in a substrate with copper, the solution comprising copper, water, a water-soluble chlorine compound, a brightening agent compound having the structure represented by the formula of -X-S-Y- and a thiol-reactive compound as present claimed, esp., wherein the thiol-reactive compound is chosen from performic acid, peracetic acid, peroxypropionic acid, peroxybutanoic acid or peroxypentanoic acid.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above. Mikkola et al. and Lyde do not teach a thiol-reactive compound chosen from performic acid, peracetic acid, peroxypropionic acid, peroxybutanoic acid or peroxypentanoic acid. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims **6-7**, **17-18** and **20** are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for filling vias in a substrate by electrolytic copper plating comprising the steps of contacting, applying and maintaining as presently claimed, esp., the step of maintaining a concentration of a compound having -X-S⁻ structure equal or less than 2.0 micro mol/L.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above.

Mikkola et al. and Lyde do not teach the step of maintaining a concentration of a

Art Unit: 1753

compound having -X-S⁻ structure equal or less than 2.0 micro mol/L. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims **10-11** and **19** are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a method for filling vias in a substrate with copper by electrolytic copper plating comprising the steps of contacting and maintaining as presently claimed, esp., the step of maintaining a concentration of a compound having - X-S⁻ structure equal or less than 1.0 micro mol/L.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above. Mikkola et al. and Lyde do not teach the step of maintaining a concentration of a compound having -X-S structure equal or less than 1.0 micro mol/L. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 3:30 pm, Flex

Art Unit: 1753

Page 5

Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW October 8, 2004